

Waldi's Test of Intelligence




Question 1:

How many of the wooden pieces shown here fit into the triangular hole?

- a) 0 g) 6
- b) 1 h) 7
- c) 2 i) 8
- d) 3 j) 9
- e) 4 k) 10
- f) 5 l) 20

A simple, visual test that every citizen with a psychological maturity of at least primary school level should pass.



Question 2:

Which of the three lines on the right is just as long as the left one?

- a) Line 1
- b) Line 2
- c) Line 3

A simple, visual test to qualify for further questions. Because: In some of the questions below, images are examined for their authenticity, which often requires a precise observation in order to differentiate between certain details.

Question 2, Variation I:

You are in a room with five other persons and look at this line comparison together. The other five persons all claim that line 2 corresponds to the left line. What do *YOU* say?

- a) Line 1
- b) Line 2
- c) Line 3

Cf. [Asch's Conformity experiment](#): Does your opinion and your action get influenced by "social pressure"?

Question 2, Variation II:

CNN reports with a stern face on how top politicians and Nobel laureate scientists are all in agreement that line 2 corresponds to the left line. In the same breath, they also ridicule line-1-proponents and advise everyone to enlighten these people on the obvious "line-2 fact." What do *YOU* say?

- a) Line 1
- b) Line 2
- c) Line 3

Cf. [Milgram experiment](#): Does your opinion and your action get influenced by authority figures?

Question 3:



How do you assess the images above?

Austrian newspaper "Kronenzeitung"
from July 28th, 2012

- a) The newspaper never lies. Therefore, the first two images must be heavily edited. That's easy to tell because looking at the woman you can see how her face has been completely censored.
- b) The above newspaper's editorial department does either have a dishonest photographer as a source, a dishonest employee, or is dishonest itself.

Question 4:



This [video](#) shows atrocities done in [Iraq](#) in [2007](#) (if the media source can be believed)

ZDF-Morgenmagazin (morning show) on May 17th, [2011](#); the [video](#) allegedly shows atrocities in [Syria](#).

How do you assess the case?

- a) All information from Germany's respectable TV broadcaster ZDF are meticulously researched with a highly exemplary investigative journalism. Therefore, the 2007 news from Iraq must be false.
- b) Unintentional errors can happen to ZDF's editorial, too. Not everything leaked to the press can always be traced back to the original source right away – and exactly that seems to be the case here.
- c) Experience says that an outrage triggering video under the specification of the wrong location and the wrong time is nothing more than deliberate propaganda. The citizen-forced-funded ZDF disappoints & deceives the nation.

Question 5:


Excerpt from Wikipedia under the title „Operation Northwoods“:

Operation Northwoods was a proposed false flag operation against the Cuban government that originated within the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) and the Joint Chiefs of Staff (JCS) of the United States government in 1962. The proposals called for the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) or other U.S. government operatives to both stage and actually commit acts of terrorism against American military and civilian targets, blaming them on the Cuban government, and using it to justify a war against Cuba. [The next part is more succinct in the German Wikipedia:] Unlike *Operation Mongoose* Operation Northwoods was not executed because President John F. Kennedy rejected the proposal. First plans of this operation were already conducted under President Dwight D. Eisenhower. The plan was drafted by the Joint Chiefs of Staff, signed by Chairman Lyman Lemnitzer and sent to the Secretary of Defense. [Lemnitzer was later Supreme Allied Commander Europe of NATO!] After thirty years of secrecy the plan eventually became public in 1997/98 (via the Freedom of Information Act).

Would you call the above described (formerly secret) plan of staging acts of terrorism under false flag a conspiracy if this plan had indeed been executed?

- a) No, conspiracies look quite different.
- b) No, because there is no such thing as conspiracies.
- c) High-ranking American officials with great decision-making power within society intended to deceive its own population with staged acts of terrorism? Yes, this would have definitely been a conspiracy against the American and Cuban population if this plan had indeed been executed.

Question 6:




On September 11th, 2001, at approx. 1 pm, the American broadcaster ABC showed a video of a smoking World Trade Center, see screenshot on the left.

(Video at minute 19:30)

Here, you can see a person climbing out of a window.

The news of the day was:
"Terrorism" and **"US attacked"**

A few years later, new videos with higher resolution emerged showing the very same terror attack, see image below. All these videos are a crucial part for the 9/11 body of evidence.



An inquisitive, diligent conspiracy theorist shows you the above screenshots including their link sources. He tells you that the 9/11 videos were forged and that these two videos were not the only ones. What is your reaction?

- a) The conspiracy theorist has bad eyes and knows nothing. The man in the video is clearly smaller than the window. Besides, 4-meter persons wouldn't be anything special or peculiar anyway.
- b) The conspiracy theorist is in the wrong because the societal worldview doesn't allow for conspiracy theorists to be in the right. The evidence is irrelevant.
- c) Like always, the conspiracy theorist is in the wrong. At least the first video must be real, otherwise it would not have been broadcasted by the news on September 11th, 2001.
- d) The conspiracy theorist is in the right. I didn't expect that, this is quite the surprise. But even a broken clock is right twice a day, so who cares. This doesn't change anything regarding the official 9/11 story. After all, this story was confirmed by the police, the politicians and all kinds of other

officials. I will not bother to examine the rest of the videos for any similar errors, and instead rather continue to trust the information coming from other and more respectable sources.

- e) Holy shit. My knowledge concerning 9/11 could really be based “on the wrong line?” I have never expected to see forged images on the daily news and have therefore always accepted all shown images out of comfort and/or gullibility. I will investigate this matter right away and really get to the bottom of it. After all, the news about this terror attack came at a huge high price: post-9/11 wars have cost us **trillions of dollars**, and not to forget, **over 1 million human lives**. This would be one hell of a deception against the entire world population, not to forget a crime against humanity. I must absolutely find out who is in the right and who is in the wrong, otherwise I may run the risk of accidentally supporting liars and criminals within our government in my everyday life.

Question 7:



Contradiction: same plane, different course

Here, the towers are shown from the same perspective in two different videos. However, one video shows the plane coming from the right, the other shows it coming from above.

Both videos aired on CBS. Note the glaring, atmospheric color discrepancy. Was the sky grey or was it blue?

The blue CBS video aired in the morning of September 11 ([starting at 0:10:30 and at 0:18:24](#))

The grey CBS video, also claimed to be evidence of the attack, was broadcasted on TV, too. ([starting at 1:04:26](#)) ([Backup link](#))



Serious and persistent, the conspiracy theorist goes on and shows you more discrepancies within the 9/11 body of evidence. He says that there have never been any hijacked airliners which crashed into the Twin Towers, and that everything was just CGI (Computer Generated Imagery.) He says, that's why there are so many contradictions present, both *in* and *across* the videos. How do you assess his opinion?

- The 9/11 videos show planes, thus the Twin Towers were indeed destroyed by planes. Who cares what direction they originally came from? CGI is only used in Hollywood, not in our daily news.
- I'll admit, reality cannot be shown in both of these videos. So yeah, alright, one of them is a fake.
- First a 4-meter man and now different flight paths? Gosh, the 9/11 evidence stinks to high heaven. Why am I hearing this only now?

Question 8:

The conspiracy theorist continues: Both of the above, cropped, grey images were taken from the publicly accessible Apollo 15 gallery. The first image shows an “astronaut” overshoe (Moon boot) and the second image shows the corresponding boot prints. The first colored image next to it shows the sole’s pattern of one such boot. The sole consists of straight-lined stripes perpendicular to the foot’s axis.



In the left Apollo 15 image you can see two shoe prints, or to be more exact, only half of them each. And if you have passed the visual tests above, you should see two different sole patterns here.

According to NASA, this image was shot during the second EVA (there were three extravehicular activities in total.) During the mission, both astronauts, David Scott and James Irwin, were wearing the same boots.

The upper (false) shoe print in the image is visibly older than the lower (right) shoe print because it is clearly overlapped by it. Explanations like, “*The boots were left behind on the moon [...] in order to compensate for the additional weight brought back by collecting moon rocks*” do not apply here.

There is no documentation about any change of boots during the mission. The rest of the Apollo 15 images only show shoe prints corresponding to the lower one. And the pressure boot inside the overshoe would have left a slimmer impression with a flat pattern if it had set foot on the ground.

Given all these facts, which of the statements below seems the most plausible to you?

- a) The conspiracy theorist ought to make an appointment with an optician. There are clearly two identically patterned shoe prints to be seen here, which of course correspond to the exact same boot.
- b) The conspiracy theorist ought to seek out a shrink, urgently. So much hogwash and quackery in only these few pages... the guy is ripe for the loony bin.
- c) For whatever reason (and in contradiction to the NASA protocol), an astronaut has simply changed his boots for a few minutes.
- d) The false shoe print can surely be explained somehow. Maybe one of the astronauts wanted to have some fun and created it by putting his gloved hand inside a shoe or something like that.
- e) The upper shoe print comes from a third person. Not “on the Moon” but “on a movie set” like in Hollywood. Meaning: Our “respectable” guys from politics, science and the media have deceived the world population by staging a Moon landing and then “live” broadcasting it as reality via



television. Even today, humanity still falls for this deception because – as sad as it may sound, yet confirmed by daily experience – most people already fail at the above question 2 and its variants.

Solution:

Question 1:	c) 2 Points,	Rest: 0 Points
Question 2:	a) 2 Points,	Rest: 0 Points
Question 2_I:	a) 2 Points,	Rest: 0 Points
Question 2_II:	a) 2 Points,	Rest: 0 Points
Question 3:	b) 2 Points,	Rest: 0 Points
Question 4:	c) 2 Points, b) 1 Point,	Rest: 0 Points
Question 5:	c) 2 Points,	Rest: 0 Points
Question 6:	e) 2 Points, d) 1 Point,	Rest: 0 Points
Question 7:	c) 2 Points, b) 1 Point,	Rest: 0 Points
Question 8:	e) 2 Points, d) 1 Point,	Rest: 0 Points

Evaluation:

0 Points:	Troll
1 - 8 Points:	brainwashed beyond repair
9 - 17 Points:	cognitively dissonant (today's societal norm)
18 - 19 Points:	adequately intelligent
20 Points:	astutely intelligent (healthy biological norm)


BONUS — Question 9 for Contemplation:

The intelligence of billions of adults – summed up – doesn't suffice to acknowledge the falseness of a 9/11 video that depicts a clearly visible 4-meter man. And this error of human history has been kept for 20 years now, still ongoing. Solving this kind of error can already be done with a level of intelligence that is no higher than that of an average 14-year old. This is truly shameful for an educated society! As a consequence of this failure, other videos have not been critically analyzed. Instead, adult citizens acted in a way – blindly following the advice and command of *other* people – which led not only to increased, freedom-curtailed security measures but also, and more importantly, to human mass murder! —War

The intelligence of billions of adults – summed up – doesn't suffice to correctly analyze simple footprints “on the Moon.” For 50 years now! As a consequence of this failure, a dumbed-down and/or dishonest scientific establishment continues to enjoy society's high degree of respect, along with a huge financial support to keep failures of this and many other kinds ongoing.

In good faith of hearing the truth, most citizens trust the media's information on the topics of 9/11 and the Moon landing. And in good faith of hearing the truth, most citizens also trust the media's information on the topic of Covid-19.

Do you think that **the intelligence of billions of adults – summed up** – has sufficed to correctly analyze the issues regarding Covid-19 and consequently come up with an ethically sound action plan for society?



<https://www.samueleckert.net/isolate-truth-fund/>

WE NOTICED: All virologists, not just those pictured, have deceived themselves and the public when they claim the existence of disease-causing viruses such as SARS-CoV-2.

Virologists inadvertently kill cells in test tubes, believing that this is proof of the presence and isolation of a virus. Only from fragments of dying cells do virologists mentally construct a gene sequence and pass it off as fact. Therefore, the test procedures do not offer any significance or meaning. Typical structures of dying cells in the electron microscope are passed off as viruses. Such structures could never be detected or recognized in a human being so far!

OUR GOAL: These misguided developments have distanced medicine far from the reality and understanding of true health. We would like to contribute to a comprehensive understanding of disease and health for all people.

WE GUARANTEE: **€1.5 Million** for a virologist who presents scientific proof of the existence of a corona virus, including documented control experiments of all steps taken in the proof.

You're on!

[See also: „*Machtwerk - Einstieg in die Widerlegung der Virusbehauptung*“ by Corona_Fakten, February 8, 2021]

Refute the following in order to win:



1. Virologists interpret the death of cells in the laboratory as being caused by viruses. Due to a lack of control experiments, they overlook the fact that they kill the cells in the laboratory themselves and unintentionally, by starvation and poisoning. This misinterpretation is based on a single publication by John Franklin Enders and a colleague on 6/1/1954. This publication was ruled by the highest court in the measles virus trial to contain no evidence of a virus. This publication became the exclusive basis not only of measles virology, but of all virology since 1954 and of the Corona hysteria.

2. Virologists mentally/computationally assemble the shortest pieces of so-called genetic information of dying cells into a very long genetic strand, which they pass off as the genetic strand of a virus. This mental/computational process is called alignment. In doing so, they have not carried out the control experiments, the attempt to mentally/computationally construct the desired hereditary strand even from short pieces of so-called genetic information from non-infected sources.

3. Virologists always need a given genetic strand of a virus for the alignment of a virus. However, they always use a hereditary strand that has only been generated mentally/computationally and never a real one that has been found in reality. They never make thereby the control attempts whether from the available data set so-called hereditary information also "viral" hereditary substance strands of completely different viruses could be constructed or not.

4. Virologists have never seen or isolated "viruses" in humans, animals, plants and their fluids. They have done this only apparently, indirectly and always only by means of very special and artificial cell systems in the laboratory. They have never mentioned or documented the control attempts whether they have succeeded in the representation and the isolation of viruses also in and from humans, animals, plants or their liquids.

5. Virologists have never isolated those supposed viruses which they photograph by means of electron micrographs, characterized them biochemically or obtained their supposed genetic material from them. They have never performed or published control experiments to determine whether, after isolating these structures, "viral" proteins (the envelope of the virus) and, above all, the viral hereditary strand, which is supposed to be the central component and characteristic of a virus, could actually be detected.

6. Virologists pass off typical artifacts of dying tissues/cells and typical structures formed when cellular components such as proteins, lipids and the solvents used are swirled as viruses or as viral components. Again, control experiments with uninfected but equally treated cells/tissues are lacking.

7. The so-called transmission experiments, which virologists carry out to prove the transmissibility and pathogenicity of the presumed viruses, disprove the entire virology. It is quite obviously the experiments themselves that cause the symptoms that are passed off in animal experiments as proof of the existence and effect of the presumed viruses. Again, there is a lack of any control experiments in which exactly the same thing is done, merely with non-infected or sterilized materials.



Let's take an honest look at the world right now:
The year 2020 can already be summed up in only 10 seconds (+25 seconds).

A great portion of thinking, reasoning and deciding on issues about one's own life is being delegated by the majority of people to a small number of *other* people whose existence the former often only know from television or the newspapers. (For example, most Germans have never seen their own Federal chancellor, *personally*.) The citizens' knowledge base originates from these very same sources of media. The media are generally known for their marketing, show business and public relation works. A person who has the ugly personality of a ruthless, evil villain can be sold to the public as a loving hero. On the other hand, an intelligent, peaceful, freedom-seeking truth speaker can be presented as a dumb, crazy criminal. That is – and has always been – the power of the media. They can create as many artificial waves of propaganda and statistics as they want. Because nobody seems to be interested in real proof anymore – the thinking process has been delegated! Yet at the same time, all sorts of directives are executed, **immediately**. Question 2, Variation II is the most difficult task in this test. Everyone only BELIEVES what propagandists say, and now people even execute Nazi directives. Instead of a yellow badge, we now have the lack of a vaccination certificate. Those who are missing the "correct" blood composition are losing every major citizen right for survival in this society. And don't even get me started on censorship. That has been going on for decades! History is repeating itself... Next year might very well become the year of persecution. We even have a modern holocaust **already**: Over one million people died due to everyone's CGI-based 9/11 belief! **And no one even cares.** What has become of us? My prospect as a "citizen without rights" is quite dark: without being able to work (and soon perhaps even shop), my future will very likely be spent either homeless, or in prison, or in psychiatry, or as a criminal, or even six foot under. A clear consequence of these new society-induced circumstances.

Our objective is to create a difficult environment for those who refuse to get vaccinated, who think they can protect themselves without the injection of an immunizing agent and that they will be able to lead a normal life. They won't. They will have difficulties in getting elective surgery or access to government cash transfer programs, and will be unable to have leisure and work without getting vaccinated. (Eduardo Paes, Mayor of Rio de Janeiro, [August 27, 2021](#))

The situation in Germany is no different. One would think that at least the Germans, with all their "remembrance on a certain history" week in, week out, would be capable of learning from said story...





Christians are telling me that a rebel called Jesus was crucified by the Romans...



**These Romans
are crazy!**



What do you do when someone says: "Jump off the bridge!"

(The excerpt below is from [Mark Passio's 2015 lecture](#) titled: *The Cult Of Ultimate Evil: Order-Followers & The Destruction Of The Sacred Feminine*)

This brings us to the issue of Moral Culpability when it comes to order-followers. The question always arises: Who is *MORE* morally culpable? Where does the real responsibility or blame lie? In the order-giver or with the order-follower?

[...] Both are morally culpable but who is *MORE* at fault or deserving of blame when harm results? [...]

There is a correct answer to that question. It is *NOT* a

matter of opinion. The way you determine the answer, the *correct* answer to that question: [...] If each group of people are saying, "My actions didn't actually bring extraordinary harm into manifested reality," who is lying and who is telling the truth when it comes down to brass tacks?

So, here's what bombs raining down on children do in the Middle East. In countries we have no business in. [...] The reason [why] so many people still support actions like this and still support the order-followers who do them, is [that] the bombs aren't raining down on *THEIR* children.

So, who is lying and who is telling the truth? Well, the painful answer is, those politicians aren't lying. Their *ACTIONS* didn't cause that. You can say, their words had a part in it and therefore they are still morally culpable. But they didn't actually pull those triggers, they didn't drop those bombs. The order-followers did those immoral behaviors.

The ugly truth

The **ORDER-FOLLOWER** always bears ***MORE*** Moral Culpability than the order-giver, because the Order-Follower is the one who actually **performed the action**, and in taking such action, actually **brought the resultant harm into physical manifestation**. Order-Following is the pathway to every form of **EVIL** and **CHAOS** in our world. It should **NEVER** be seen as a "*virtue*" by anyone who considers themselves a moral human being. Order-Followers have ultimately been personally responsible and morally culpable for every form of Slavery and every single Totalitarian regime that has ever existed upon the face of the Earth.


This is the result of following orders, invariably, to its inevitable conclusion: **genocide / mass death / mass graves**. People committing themselves to evil; that they even know is evil, and they give themselves over to it. Because, "Hey, I was just doing what I was told. I was just doing my job."

[Now apply this not only to soldiers or the police but to ALL citizens who say this: "I am too afraid of fines, penalties or social pressure if I don't do what is asked of me."]

[On a scale from "Please shut the window" and "Rape that child" most people have an ethical boundary which they will not overstep. Where is yours? – WJ]



"I was just following orders" is **NEVER** a valid excuse or "justification" for immoral, criminal behavior, and this lame attempt to abdicate personal responsibility **SHOULD NEVER BE ACCEPTED** as a valid excuse for such behavior.



The Beagle Boys in disguise...

We are not witnessing viral epidemics; we are witnessing epidemics of fear. And both the media and the pharmaceutical industry carry most of the responsibility for amplifying fears, fears that happen, incidentally, to always ignite fantastically profitable business. Research hypotheses covering these areas of virus research are practically never scientifically verified with appropriate controls. Instead, they are established by "consensus." This is then rapidly reshaped into a dogma, efficiently perpetuated in a quasi-religious manner by the media, including ensuring that research funding is restricted to projects supporting the dogma, excluding research into alternative hypotheses. An important tool to keep dissenting voices out of the debate is censorship at various levels ranging from the popular media to scientific publications.

– Etienne de Harven, Professor Emeritus of Pathology,
Foreword to the book „Virus Mania“, 2007



This last picture is over 10 years old. Conspiracy theorists already knew back then where our society was heading. **But no one was listening to us!**

The mind control movie "The Manchurian Candidate" (1962) provides an excellent explanation for why the public has to endure this minute-by-minute live-ticking bombardment of all kinds of different and contradicting numbers of alleged Corona victims, day in and day out. As per the movie, *this way* nobody will talk about whether a particular issue is the case but rather to which extent it is the case.

Be honest: Have **you personally** ever seen a clear proof of the **virus'** existence? (Cf. example **AIDS** – history is repeating itself...) Think about it: published statistics may be just as true as King Kong man! Death and sickness per se prove the existence of the virus no more than destroyed WTC Towers prove any plane crashes. At least the fact that the towers were destroyed or that people fall ill can't be denied. But I am asking for a **proof of the real cause**. Have you ever seen that? And do you really know that the proposed fluids that are to be mixed with your blood, or already were, are really biologically healthy for you? After all, without purchase in the black market the average citizen is not allowed to analyze the vaccine. Perhaps I should remind you of the eugenicists in our history:

I agree with you if you mean, as I suppose you do, that **society has no business to permit degenerates to reproduce their kind.**

– Letter from Theodore Roosevelt, 26th President of the United States, to Charles Davenport, director of *Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory* and founder of the *Eugenics Record Office*, January 3rd, 1913

It is no accident, for example, that the same Cold Spring Harbor facility that functioned under the auspices of the Harriman family's infamous Eugenics Record Office as a coordinating center for the eugenics movement internationally, became a leading center for molecular biology and genetic engineering after World War II. The same holds for Pasadena, California, the birthplace of the mass sterilization programs for "genetically inferior" persons that were set into motion in a number of U.S. states many years before the Nazis came into power.

– Jonathan Tennenbaum, *Toward a True Science of Life*, 18. August 2001

I want to concentrate on one particular issue: do people have the right to have children at all? It would not be very difficult, as we gathered from Dr. [Gregory Goodwin] Pincus, for a government to put something into our food so that nobody could have children. Then possibly—and this is hypothetical—they could provide another chemical that would reverse the effect of the first, and only people licensed to bear children would be given this second chemical. This isn't so wild that we need not discuss it. Is it the general feeling that people do have the right to have children? This is taken for granted because it is part of Christian ethics, but in terms of humanist ethics I do not see why people should have the right to have children.

— Francis Harry Compton Crick ([Nobel laureate eugenicist](#)), *MAN and his FUTURE, 1963*

SINCE 1974, the Task Force on **Birth Control Vaccines** of the World Health Organisation (WHO) Special Programme of Research, Development and Research Training in Human Reproduction has promoted the development of a contraceptive vaccine directed against the pregnancy hormone human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG).

— PHASE I CLINICAL TRIAL OF A WORLD HEALTH ORGANISATION BIRTH CONTROL VACCINE,
published by *The Lancet*, June 11, 1988

(The Lancet is a weekly peer-reviewed general medical journal and is among the world's oldest and best-known general medical journals.)

The WHO was established in 1945 and immediately embraced the idea that “family planning”, alias population control, later referred to as “Planned Parenthood”, was a necessity for “world health”. The notion that “fertility reduction” was essential dated back to Margaret Sanger’s first birth-control clinic in the US which was established in 1916 and has been carried forward all the way to this present time of writing. [*Sanger then founded the American Birth Control League in 1921, which changed its name to Planned Parenthood in 1942. Here is a quote of hers from a 1947 interview: “I believe there should be no more babies in starving countries for the next 10 years.”* — WJ]

Look at that, it would appear that the world hunger problem is indeed being solved right now! Hurrah...

With a (clandestine) sterilization and genetic manipulation of this species, future procreation could then only be done in a laboratory, as was forecasted in the “**sex scene**” of *Demolition Man* (1993). No children without a license!

—No, I do not have clear proof of this statement. However, my assumption is not only based on the evidence above (among others) but also on the increasing real problems of human reproduction these days. You may believe what you want. I, for one, have at least considered the variable “liars & deceivers within government, science and media” in *MY* assumption. Have you?

— The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)
is the national public health agency of the United States.

Congressman Bill Posey: I wonder if the CDC has conducted or facilitated a study comparing vaccinated children with unvaccinated children yet. Have you done that?

CDC's Dr. Coleen Boyle: *[First hesitating to answer, then followed by bla-bla-bla]*

Congressman Bill Posey: So clearly, definitely, unequivocally, you have studied vaccinated versus unvaccinated?

CDC's Dr. Coleen Boyle: We have not studied vaccinated versus unvaccinated.


The most basic of all scientific studies and they didn't do it!

→ — OGR Full Committee Hearing: “*I in 88 Children: A Look into the Federal Response to the Rising Rates of Autism*”, November 29, 2012 ([video](#))



For the first few DECADES science always sides with the harmful corporate product, and labels the whistleblowers as “quacks” & the victims as “crazy”

If history teaches us anything, it's that Science will continue to bully the victims until the outrage exceeds the profits.



In the last two years alone, how many milestones has the donkey already passed?


Mask, distance, quarantine, lockdown, vaccines, strict rules at work and public transportation...

- T "There won't be any compulsory vaccination in this pandemic." [German] Health Minister Jens Spahn (CDU) has once again given a refusal to a compulsory vaccination. ([deutschlandfunk.de, January 13, 2021](https://deutschlandfunk.de/January 13, 2021))
- U Soon, unvaccinated people shall no longer receive a wage compensation when they have to get quarantined. Proponents find it fair but critics see the Health Ministers' decision as a "compulsory vaccination through the back door." ([tagesschau.de, September 23, 2021](https://tagesschau.de/September 23, 2021))
- V Austria: Unvaccinated people have to face lockdown ([tagesschau.de, November, 14, 2021](https://tagesschau.de/November, 14, 2021))
- W [We] Won't get around compulsory vaccination ([tagesschau.de, November 24, 2021](https://tagesschau.de/November 24, 2021))

Wow, only three more steps and then healthy, unvaccinated people will have to "recover" from arbitrarily selected symptoms by going into a medical gas chamber...

No matter what people say, their overall actions show unmistakably that unvaccinated people like me have been declared a "quiet war." And if our society will continue to ignore all reason and common sense, I expect my near future to be one of three things:

- a) Either society crushes the basic human right known as *bodily integrity* and jabs its citizens even against their will. (with so much harassment, rape is not far off anymore!) Of course, Beagle Boys politicians will legalize it first before law abiding pawns are allowed to commit the ethical crime.
- Bodily integrity is the inviolability of the physical body and emphasizes the importance of personal autonomy, self-ownership, and self-determination of human beings over their own bodies. In the field of human rights, violation of the bodily integrity of another is regarded as an unethical infringement, intrusive, and possibly criminal. ([Wikipedia](#))
- b) Or, more likely, bodily integrity doesn't get crushed and instead society will simply take away basic citizens' rights that are essential for survival in this society. Sooner or later, these people will then give up and get their jab "voluntarily" (continuation of the donkey meme.)
- c) Eisenhower's death camps of German *prisoners of war* might be a good illustration of option 3...





Here is the difference between today's top politicians and ethical truth seekers:

The former receive **money** on a regular basis for an appreciated work that *restricts everyone's freedoms*, the latter invest **out of their own pocket** for an unappreciated work to *increase everyone's freedoms*.

[The following is an excerpt from a London Real interview with David Icke, March 18, 2020. – WJ]

For 30 years I've been warning people in my books and every other way I can that this world is controlled by a cult. It's a cult that has no borders. It operates in all the major countries. In fact, *all* the countries in the end. And particularly in those countries that dictate the direction of the world. So the cult will be at the core of the system in China. It will be at the core of the system in America, etc., etc., etc. And so what I have said in this 30 years that this cult wants: I've said [...] it wants to create a beyond Orwellian global state in which a tiny few people dictate everyone else. I've referred to this as the Hunger Games Society. And you can picture the structure very clearly. Picture a pyramid. At the top of the pyramid, you've got a tiny few ENORMOUSLY wealthy people that actually are connected to this cult. We now have a name for them: we call them the 1%. At the bottom of this pyramid of the Hunger Games society is basically the rest of humanity that is dependent upon the 1%. **And in between the two is a vicious, merciless police-military state to impose the will of the 1% on the population and to prevent the population [from] challenging the 1%.** And this Hunger Games society is not classic Fascism, it's not classic Communism – although the outcome in terms of tyranny is the same – it is a *technocracy*. A technocracy is defined as a society that is controlled by bureaucrats, experts, scientists, engineers... – technocrats.

And the ability of that situation to happen is through smart technology and AI [artificial intelligence]. The idea is that everything will be connected to AI. This is what the *Internet of Things* is all about. And if you listened to the crazies in Silicon Valley, they are telling you that in the period around 2030 – a year that keeps coming up from all directions – we will have a situation where the human brain will start to be connected to AI. And thus whoever controls AI will be connecting and driving the perceptions of humanity. And that can be done from a central point through this global *smart grid*. So, that's the structure that they want. They also want a society completely cashless where everything is digital money, a single one-world currency which will be run through this smart grid.

Now in the same 30 years I've been saying [that] there are two major techniques that are being used to bring about that situation. One I call since the 1990s "Problem, Reaction, Solution" where you covertly create a problem, use the unquestioning, pathetic mainstream media to tell the public the version of the problem you want them to believe and you are looking at stage two, the reaction, for fear – that's the currency of control – outrage, whatever the problem is; and either a demand from the public that something must be done or at least an acceptance from the public that things need to change because of the problem. And at that point those who have created the problem got that reaction openly in changes in society [and will] offer the solutions to the problems they have themselves covertly created. And those changes step by step take us further and further to that Hunger Games society. There is another version which I call "No Problem, Reaction, Solution" where you don't need a real problem, you just need the perception of one (e.g. Weapons of Mass Destruction in Iraq) and you still have the ability to provide your society changing solution. The stable mate of "Problem, Reaction, Solution" is what I call the totalitarian tiptoe where you start at *A* and you know you are going to *Z*; but you know that if you go in too big of a leap, people will look up from the game show and the latest Simon Cowell and say,

“What's going on? What's going on?” because the changes are so [big]. So you do it in as big a step as you *can* towards your outcome but not so fast or big that you alert too many people to the fact that it's a pattern. What you want people to believe is everything is random. And I have this other phrase which relates to all this: *Know the outcome and you'll see the journey*. If you don't know where this world is being taken by this cult, then everything seems random. Coronavirus, random. Climate change, random. Economic crash, random. [Delta variant, random, Omicron variant, random. – WJ] But when you know where we are being taken [and] you know the outcome – this Hunger Games structured society – now the apparently random events become *clear* stepping stones to that outcome.

Now, let's take all of that and apply it to the Coronavirus and what's happening now. And let people decide for themselves if they think that the fact that the Coronavirus hysteria ticks every single box of that outcome, that goal, is a coincidence. I absolutely do not believe that it is. [...] This Coronavirus *hysteria* gives them the excuse to do what they are doing. And the outcome and the consequences of what they are doing is to dismantle the world economic system. Now, another thing I have been saying: This Hunger Games society [...] is designed to have no small business, not even medium sized business, globally, just gigantic corporations that control and produce everything. Amazon is a classic example of what I am talking about. What this Coronavirus hysteria is creating is a situation—unfolding by the hour, worldwide—that is destroying small business, family business, even medium sized business, some are even big businesses too. It's destroying them. The big legacy of what is happening now will not [have] to do with health, long-term—it will be economic. It is going to be catastrophic. Now here is the point. What happens to those people whose businesses collapse? What happens to all those people who were working for those businesses? For bars? For hotels? For all these businesses that are being targeted. “Don't go there.” Shut down. What happens to them? They fall to the bottom of the Hunger Games Society. And what we are seeing now, every day, is this Hunger Games society coming closer and closer and closer because of what is being done in the name of protecting the people. I got news for you: You go deep enough into this system [and you will see] THEY DON'T GIVE A SHIT about the people!

We are being asked to believe now that this system cares about old people. “We must protect the old people. We must destroy the world economy to protect the old people.” Oh, these would be the old people, would it, that have paid in their entire life through taxation and other means, and at the end, in their final years, they get handed a pittance of a pension which gives them the choice between being warm or being [not] hungry?! And what does that do when people are going without essential things because the system doesn't care? And they are having to buy shite food because that's all they can afford. They can't have nutrients to boost their immune systems because they can't afford them (and the mainstream and everything doesn't stop telling them, “You got to eat them anyway”). And at the same time they are breathing in shit air, drinking toxic water and other drinks, being deluged with sugar which has a phenomenally destructive impact on the immune system. All this is going on, we are living in an electromagnetic, technologically generated soup of radiation toxicity. And this system has allowed that to happen, has allowed corporations to do that. And now, having done all that, that has devastated the lives and immune systems of old people, we are being asked to believe that the system cares about the health of the elderly. It doesn't give a shit! I'm not talking about the nurses, I'm not talking about the doctors. I'm talking about that at the core which is driving this. [...] The elderly are an excuse to impose the very society that I'm talking about.

Now if you look, when these things happen and great Orwellian, draconian things are being put in place, [and they say] “Oh, we got to do this because of the problem.” Well, the problem eventually passes. This virus will eventually flatten out. But what you see every time—9/11 is a classic—they will roll back some of it but will not nearly roll back to where it was before. The whole thing has moved on, closer to the Hunger Games society. [=more technological requirements made obligatory to live and interact in society, e.g. requiring a smartphone to enter public transportation or to attend lectures and attain a university degree] [...] And I have been saying this [since] about 1993, they want a cashless society, a digital cashless society, one-world-currency, which has *phenomenal* implications for freedom. They want to get rid of cash. And when I said that, there was lots of cash in circulation. People [were] going, “Ended cash?” Now look at it.

As anyone who works in PR or marketing will know, you need to keep your story fresh and relevant and keep giving it new talking points. Well, the same with Covid. You know, to start with, there was lockdowns, then there were variants, then there were vaccinations and boosters and people dying after this, and there was always a new news story. And now it's the Botswana super ninja mutant variant, and that's just come into play. And what you're trying to do is create a kind of whole narrative arc, a whole theater production, if you will, around this new fresh angle to your story. So now you've got the Botswana variant. Botswana, you know, Delta sounded a bit like 'all fertile' delta place where you can grow stuff. Delta, oh, rivers, nutrients. Botswana sounds jungle, sounds mysterious, sounds all the sort of place where, you know, there might be diseases lurking, hm, scary. [Also: *omicron ⇔ moronic*]

Then you've got people drawing graphics of what this mutant ninja variant might look like with special spiky bits, **even though no one actually knows what it looks like**. These are just graphic configurations, so you've got a visual to hang on to as well. Then you need to do some policy items to reinforce the drama that you're putting on, and those items now would be creating a red list. Let's remember that a red list is an invention. Traffic lights are actually a system for controlling traffic, they were never designed to color in countries. But this "*let's put it on the red list*" – and now we're going to ban flights from Botswana and six different other states, so you've created a sort of policy narrative to go alongside the visual and the pictures that you've made and the scary sounding words: Botswana, oh, the jungle, mosquitoes, who knows.

Now all you need is something that makes you sound like you technically know what you're doing. So now we have the B.1.1.529. We've given a numerical reference code that makes you sound kind of scientific, like you have qualitative and quantitative statistical backup and you are definitely following the science because it's called B.1.1.529. Like one might have a code or a reference number. That's how this shit works. It's straight out of the PR Marketing Playbook 101. And in order to keep up interest, in order to drive the next push behind an action they're going to require of you, they needed to create the drama and the performance to draw you in as their ready and willing audience.

The question is:

Are you still going to keep buying your tickets, taking your seats, and listening politely to the nonsense that you're being fed?

– Katie Hopkins, November 11, 2021



This recent sting [video](#) [[Backup link](#)] shows Brazilian congressmen celebrating [**without masks**] after taking a vote in plenary session. For anyone with two brain cells left, it's clear that for insiders there is no such thing as a pandemic harrowing the land. If people had not been so severely brainwashed, this video alone would be enough to bring down the entire mask/lockdown circus.

– Flabbergasted, February 03, 2021



It was never about *safety*. It has always been about **CONTROL**.

Now who's a good boy?

"Just wear the mask"



time passes

"Just let the government track your location"



time passes

"Just let them inject you"



time passes

"Just give them your guns"



time passes

"Just get in the boxcar"




The German media always calls conspiracy theorists "Nazis." But please, dear reader, in all honesty:

Who is *REALLY* acting like a Nazi here?

The following was written on Cluesforum on December 3rd, 2021:

These books were researched, written, edited, published and marketed between November 27th and December 2nd, 2021. The name “Omicron” was assigned by the WHO on November 26th, 2021.



Lol – there are now **more than 22 books** about the horrific “Omicron Variant” to be found – on [Amazon alone](#)... And this one goes at the bargain price of [\\$149.99!](#)



The book description goes like this:

“The point of this book is to improve and demystify the health intricacies, hazard, fundamental precautionary measures, and quiet realities that you really want to be aware of the new Omicron new variant of Coronavirus. By perusing this book you will arm yourself with important information to keep you and your friends and family protected and ensured.”

Whereas this other book is only [\\$65.03](#) – and hey, its author is stated as “The Who Organization” – so it’s gotta be the best value for your money! :P

We live in a very silly world. ☺



BONUS — Questions 10 & 11 for Contemplation:

A woman is being sexually harassed by her employer. He urges to poke her with his prick in her nether regions and subsequently leave his ejaculate in her body. The reason for all this is the potential extinction of the own species based on the information which the employer picked out selectively. If she doesn't consent to sex with him, or rather her own rape, she will make herself unpopular at work.

If you were the woman, what would you do?

- a) Getting poked
- b) Not getting poked

You are being harassed by society and urged to get yourself pricked by a syringe, the ingredients of which you are even denied to analyze yourself. Subsequently, the ejaculate of this syringe, whose side effects can factually be a risk to your health, are to be left in your body. The reason for all this is your potential danger as a human being to the well-being of other human beings in your environment, even if you exhibit no symptoms of disease whatsoever. This fear is based on information that come from sources who fail to uncover a 1-million human casualty & multi-trillion dollar "4-meter man" fraud, even though proving that crime is as simple as distinguishing the length of two different lines. If you don't consent, you will make yourself unpopular in society.

What will you do? / What have you done?

- a) Getting pricked
- b) Not getting pricked



It is not a pandemic, it is
a test of intelligence.

In social issues class today our professor held up a black book and was like "this book is red" and we were all "no" and he said "yes it is" and we were just all "that's not right" and he turned it around and the back cover was red and he said "Don't tell somebody they're wrong until you've seen things from their point of view" (a student's post on the Internet from the 2010s)

Intelligent conspiracy theorists do not believe in a Flat Earth! As a reason-appealing citizen on the fringes of society I wholeheartedly invite you to take a look at my other PDFs as well. I collect my best findings from the human labyrinth of lies and offer them – without any cost – to any interested fellow human being. I will give you true words with a serious tone:

septclues.com/c5/c5_Teaser1_en.pdf septclues.com/c5/c5_Teaser1_de.pdf

Waldi's Test of Intelligence

Waldis Intelligenztest (German)

septclues.com/c5/c5_Teaser2_en.pdf septclues.com/c5/c5_Teaser3_en.pdf septclues.com/c5/c5_Teaser4_en.pdf

Astroturfing, Conspiracies and the Crime of 1920 (*forgotten history!*)

Hearing Out Suppressed Opinions (*not yet released in English*)

Image analyses regarding 9/11, Apollo and other Fake News

septclues.com/c5/Elixir_c5.pdf septclues.com/c5/Elixier_c5.pdf

You liked teasers 1 – 4? Here, you can read **even more!**

Ihnen gefallen Teaser 1 – 4? Hier können Sie **noch mehr** lesen!

Contact:
w-j@posteo.de

DBA Strategy: Discredit By Association

[...] NASA has by now been roundly exposed as a total fraud – and, of course, they weren't going to just sit back and let a growing number of honest / legitimate grass-root researchers "lead" the fledgling 'NASA truth movement' (for lack of a better term – *sigh*) and tranquilly diffuse their findings to the general public – in any sort of snowballing / or unimpeded fashion.

What NASA has rolled out is a carefully planned and coordinated, 'viral' DBA / co-opting campaign centered on the 'Flat Earth' meme and – I will hastily add – (with respect to those who may honestly entertain alternative cosmic models of their own) this fact should be clear as day to anyone, regardless of whether you reckon we live on a globe, a cube, a pancake or a Wiener würstel. The point being:

It is by now painfully obvious that the underlying goal of this viral FLAT EARTH CRAZE is to associate (in the general public's psyche) anyone calling out the giant NASA FRAUD with raving crackpots believing that Earth is flat.

In such way, of course, people who may start realizing that NASA (and space travel in general) is a massive scam will soon dismiss the thought – since it is shared by those "Flat Earth crackpots".

Here's how they wish the average Joe and Jenny to "interact":

Joe: *"Hey, Jenny – did you watch that Space X rocket launch last night on TV? Heck – there was 'CGI' written all over it!"*

Jenny: *"Omg, Joe... Taken your meds lately? Duh, I bet you also believe the earth is flat? HA HA HA!"*

[...] To those who might still be a bit confused (I don't blame them!) : YES, those 'flat earthers' APPEAR to do the right thing – i.e. denouncing the Grand NASA Scam. Yet this is ex-ac-ty what NASA wants: to associate people who believe the earth is flat with those denouncing NASA. As it is, NASA desperately needs to "lead" – i.e. to stay on top of the ever-so-slooooowly-surging wave of public realization / awakening – and to control their own, inevitable downfall – so as to postpone it as long as possible. To ensure the longest possible timespan for their ongoing mass deception, they are counting on squeezing every last drop of **human gullibility** available on this Earth. Geddit? [...]


– Simon Shack, July 13, 2015

~~~~~

**CENSORSHIP:** One link in this PDF deserves special attention. So much so that Nazi-YouTube even decided to *censor* every mention of it from its own platform, comments included.

**SEPTEMBER CLUES 9/11:** <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cF0-nslm3j0&t=1h4m26s>

Alternative link: <https://fakeotube.com/v/31>



A well-made, enlightening video about a **fraud against the world population** is classed as "hate speech" and thus **censored from public viewing**.

Yet uncovering a fraud, when the police doesn't do it, is NOT "hate speech" but an ethical act of public enlightenment. Please visit the uploader's website:

**[septemberclues.info](http://septemberclues.info)**